UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE
DISTRICT OF MANSAGERUSETTS

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

OF TRICT OF MASS

JOSEPH P. SCHMITT, PRO SE, PLAINTIFF,

٧s

CHRISTOPHER P. LOCONTO, ESQ., DEFENDANT

Referred to New Magis hote Judge

COMPLAINT

Introduction

This is an action for Damages pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and Declaratory Judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 220, broght by the pro se plaintiff Joseph P. Schmitt. Plaintiff alleges that the defendant Christopher P. LoConto has violated his State and Federal Constitutional Rights and in doing so has violated the Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct which regulates the practice of law in this state.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

Joseph P. Schmitt acting pro se for this action is the plaintiff and has the mailing address of 30 Administration Road Bridgewater Massachusetts 02324-3230. Plaintiff is a temporary civil detainee of the Commonwealth pending trial pursuant to M.G.L. c. 123A.

Defendant

Christopher P. LoConto is the defendant in this action. Defendant

LoConto is a practicing attorney of the Commonwealth and can be reached at 120 Main Street Worcester Massachusetts 01608-1170. He is being sued in both his professional and individual capacity.

FACTS

- 1) Defendant was appointed as counsel for plaintiff in SDP Case out of Worcester Superior Court by C.P.C.S. on or about September 2002;
- 2) Plaintiff had two checks from a publisher sent to defendant with the defendants knowlege and agreement during or about October 2002. Checks were payable to the plaintiff in the amounts of \$100.00 and \$30.00;
- 3) At various times while defendant was actively appointed as plaintiff's counsel, plaintiff mailed a substantial amount of documents to defendant. These documents were not part of the vast amount of documents contained in the case file turned over to the defendant by the Worcester District Attorney's Office;
- 4) At various times while defendant was actively appointed as plaintiff's counsel, plaintiff sent books to defendant to safe keep;
- 5) Plaintiff sent defendant a one of a kind photo of his mother, father and step son which was confirmed as received by defendant;
- 6) On countless occassions via phone conversations, written correspondence and visits plaintiff informed defendant that he required the return of his family photo in which he was told by the defendant that it would be returned to him;
- 7) On countless occassions plaintiff did request the return of his money. Defendant did, finally forward the sum of \$100.00 but the remaining sum of \$30.00 still remains unreturned to plaintiff.

Plaintiff informed defendant countless time that the publisher in which the check is payable from has gone out of busness and it was of upmost importance to cash the check before it was absolutely uncashable. Defendant ignored these warning and requests;

- 8) Plaintiff filed a motion pro se to have defendant removed as counsel in his Worcester Superior SDP Case and another motion for the court to order defendant to return his personal property;
- 9) Plaintiff filed a complaint against defendant with the Office of the Bar Counsel and has received absolutely no positive action towards the return of his funds, documents, photo, or books;
- 10) Plaintiff has attempted to contact defendant via phone as a way to avoid having to take legal action to get his property returned but defendant, and or his office personell, refuse to accept calls from plaintiff;
- 11) Defendant was plaintiff's counsel for approximately two and a half years during which time plaintiff made countless complaints to defendant and C.P.C.S. regarding defendants conduct in hopes of bettering the client attorney relationship which proved to be futile;

PRAYER

Plaintiff prays this Honorable Court will make a declaratory judgment ruling that defendant, Attorney Christopher P. LoConto has in fact violated the Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct by refusing to comply with plaintiff written and verbal requests for the return of his personal property and funds, and in doing so has violated plaintiffs civil rights by causing the plaintiff to be totally unable to work on his defense due to the fact defendant has

documents needed by plaintiff that are not part of the case file produced by the District Attorney's Office

Plaintiff is unsure of the exact quote from the Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct, but he believes under the heading of property there is a section that clearly indicates that defendant is in the wrong by refusing to return plaintiff's personal belongings and funds. Defendant is an attorney of the Massachusetts Bar and as such is obligated to perform his duties in compliance with the Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct, and is subject to sanctions if and when he so blatantly violates said rules..

Plaintiff prays this court order defendant to reinburse him the \$30.00 with compound interest for the entire time defendant has had the plaintiff's check, which is no beyond cashable due to the defendant's own actions.

Plaintiff prays the court order defendant to immediately return plaintiff's photo of his mother, father and step son, all books and documents mailed to defendant from the plaintiff and/or that are not part of the case file directly provided from the Worcester District Attorney's Office.

Plaintiff further prays that this court issue sanctions against defendant for his unprofession conduct and award plaintiff monetary compensation for damages caused by defendant's actions.

Dated: February 8,2005

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph P. Schmitt, pro se Mass Treatment Center 30 Administration Road Bridgewater, MA. 02324-3230

_ AMOUNT _

APPLYING IFP

J\$ 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEE'

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in Section 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE REVE

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	e purpose of initiating the	civil docket snee	I. (SEE II	DEFENDANTS	EVERSE OF THE PORTO	OFFICE
30 Admi	P. Schmitt, pnistration Roater, MA. 023	ad		F .	pher P FLocon n Street 16 er, MA. 01608	-1170
` '	OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF	Plymouth		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE O	U.S. DISTRIC PERSTORS PREPARE	Warsester
(EXCER	PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS) EMNATION CASES, USE THE (C	ES ONLY)
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE	NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	William Control of the Control of th	
P	ro se		0	5 - 10		3 5 °
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (PLACE AN	X. IN ONE BOX ONTA)	III. CI	r Diversity Cases Only)		PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTI AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		,	ON 1711 O		PTF DE For Principal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizer in Item III)	nship of Parties			of Busines	l and Principal Place 🗆 5 🔲 5 s In Another State
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ON	E BOY ONLY	L	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	13 □3 Foreign Natio	on 🗀 6 🖂 6
CONTRACT		ORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKBURTOV	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal Inju Med. Malpr Bersonal Inju Product Liat	ury — actice ury —	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	☐ 368 Asbestos Pe Injury Produ PERSONAL PRO	ersonal ct Liability PERTY	630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 630 Patent 840 Trademark	480 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange
 ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits 	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lend ☐ 380 Other Person Property Da	nai	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 385 Property Dai Product Liab	mage bility	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PET		☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Sentence HABEAS CORPL 530 General		& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 535 Death Penal ☐ 540 Mandamus 8 ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Cond	Other	[] 790 Other Labor Litigation [] 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
			N "X" IN 4 Reinsta Reoper			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO Defenda Ma. Rule	DO NOT CITE JURISDICT	cional statutes uni ctorney, v	ess diver viola	ting plaintiff	•	hts and
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	A CLASS ACT	ION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES o	nly if demanded in complaint:
VIII.RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): ಸರ	DGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY O	FRECORD	٠,	
February 8,20	005	- 124 C	1000	¥		

JUDGE ..

MAG. JUDGE

		UNITE DIST	D STATES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF MASSACHUSETTS FILED
			SCHERKS OFFICE
. TITLE	OF CASE	(NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EAC	HSIDEONLY) Schmitt vs Loconto
			7995 FEB 16 P 3: 20
CATEC	ORY IN V	HICH THE CASE BELONGS BASI	FD UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE
COVE	R SHEET.	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).	ED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE COURT 11.5. DISTRICT OF MASS. DISTRICT OF MASS.
_	l.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLE	SS OF NATURE OF SUIT.
<u>_x</u>	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*,	
_	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 21 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 35 380, 385, 450, 891.	
*********	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 53 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875	900
_	٧.	150, 152, 153.	05 - 1 0 5 7 2 PB
			S. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(G)). IF MORE THAN ONE PRIOR RELATED CATE THE TITLE AND NUMBER OF THE FIRST FILED CASE IN THIS C
HAS A		TION BETWEEN THE SAME PAR	TIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS YES NO X
			THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING T
PUBLI	GINTERE	ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403)	
		, , ,	YES NO $old X$ EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY?
		, , ,	165
IF SO,	IS THE U.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY?
IF SO,	IS THE U. S CASE R	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC	IS THE U. S CASE R C §2284? L OF THE	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC	IS THE U. S CASE R C §2284? L OF THE	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLINE OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND TO
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC	IS THE U. S CASE R C §2284? L OF THE	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCL TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE TRANSPORTED STATES
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC	IS THE U. S CASE R S \$2284? L OF THE ONWEAL DIVISION	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCL TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE RIMMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE III YES X NO
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC	IS THE U. S CASE R S \$2284? L OF THE ONWEAL DIVISION	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCL IH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO EASTERN DIVISION	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE RIMMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE II YES X NO PALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE?
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC	IS THE U. S CASE R S §2284? L OF THE ONWEAL DIVISION	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCL IH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO EASTERN DIVISION	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE RIMMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE II YES X NO PALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTES RESIDE? CENTRAL DIVISION XXX WESTERN DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLU
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC DO AL COMM SAME	IS THE U. S CASE R S §2284? L OF THE ONWEAL DIVISION	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCL TH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO EASTERN DIVISION IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, EASTERN DIVISION	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE RIMMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE II YES X NO PALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? CENTRAL DIVISION XXX WESTERN DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLURESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE?
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC DO AL COMMISAME	IS THE U. S CASE R S \$2284? L OF THE ONWEAL DIVISION A. B.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLIPH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO EASTERN DIVISION IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, EASTERN DIVISION PRINT) JOSEPH P.	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE RIMMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE II YES X NO ALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? CENTRAL DIVISION XXX WESTERN DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLURESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION SCHMİTT
IF SO, IS THIS 28 USC DO AL COMMISAME	IS THE U. S CASE R S \$2284? L OF THE ONWEAL DIVISION A. B.	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLIPH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVE - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO EASTERN DIVISION IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO GOVERNMENTAL AGENCES, EASTERN DIVISION PRINT) JOSEPH P.	EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. APARTY? YES NO TERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO YES NO X UDING GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE RIMMENTAL AGENCIES"), RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE II YES X NO PALL OF THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL PARTIES RESIDE? CENTRAL DIVISION XXX WESTERN DIVISION THE MAJORITY OF THE PLAINTIFFS OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLURESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? CENTRAL DIVISION WESTERN DIVISION